IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

INNOVATIVE GLOBAL SYSTEMS LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

VOLVO CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NORTH AMERICA, INC., VOLVO TRUCKS NORTH AMERICA, INC., KOMATSU AMERICA CORP., CATERPILLAR, INC., HYUNDAI CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AMERICAS, INC., TOPCON TIERRA, STARTRAK SYSTEMS, LLC, WIRELESS MATRIX USA, LLC, JLG INDUSTRIES INC., TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., GEOTAB, INC., and NAVISTAR, INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:10-cv-00327-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S ANSWER TO THE COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT VOLVO CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NORTH AMERICA, INC.

Plaintiff INNOVATIVE GLOBAL SYSTEMS LLC ("Plaintiff") answers the counterclaims of Defendant VOLVO CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NORTH AMERICA, INC. ("Defendant") filed September 30, 2010, as follows:

COUNTERCLAIM

- 54. Plaintiff admits the allegations in Paragraph 54, upon information and belief.
- 55. Plaintiff admits the allegations in Paragraph 55.
- 56. Plaintiff admits that (1) this is a civil action involving allegations of patent infringement, (2) that Defendant purports to bring counterclaims under the laws of the United States for non-infringement and invalidity, and (3) that this court has jurisdiction over this matter. However, Plaintiff denies that Defendant's counterclaims have any factual or legal basis.

- 57. Plaintiff admits the allegations in Paragraph 57.
- 58. Plaintiff admits that venue is proper in this District. Except as so admitted, the allegations in Paragraph 58 are denied.

COUNT I (The Patents-In-Suit Are Not Infringed)

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs as though expressly stated herein.
 - 60. Plaintiff admits the allegations in Paragraph 60.
 - 61. Plaintiff denies the allegations in Paragraph 61.
 - 62. Plaintiff admits the allegations in Paragraph 62.
 - 63. Plaintiff denies the allegations in Paragraph 63.

COUNT II (The Patents-In-Suit Are Not Valid)

- 64. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs as though expressly stated herein.
 - 65. Plaintiff admits the allegations in Paragraph 65.
 - 66. Plaintiff denies the allegations in Paragraph 66.
 - 67. Plaintiff admits the allegations in Paragraph 67.
 - 68. Plaintiff denies the allegations in Paragraph 68.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Although no answer is required to Defendant's request for relief, Plaintiff denies all allegations of Paragraphs (1) through (6) and further denies that any relief should be granted to Defendant.

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all matters raised by Defendant's counterclaims and by Plaintiff in its Original Complaint (and any supplements or amendments thereto).

Dated: October 14, 2010. Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael T. Cooke
State Bar No. 04759650
Jonathan T. Suder
State Bar No. 19463350
Todd I. Blumenfeld
State Bar No. 24067518
FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE
Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1
604 East 4th Street, Suite 200
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
(817) 334-0400
Fax (817) 334-0401
jts@fsclaw.com
mtc@fsclaw.com
Blumenfeld@fsclaw.com

Keith A. Rutherford
R. Scott Reese
Sarah R. Cabello
WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH,
RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI, LLP
20333 SH 249, Suite 600
Houston, TX 77070
(832) 446-2400
Fax (832) 446-2424
krutherford@counselip.com
sreese@counselip.com
scabello@counselip.com

Eric M. Albritton ERIC M. ALBRITTON, P.C. P.O. Box 2649 111 West Tyler Street Longview, TX 75601 (903) 757-8449 x204 Fax (903) 758-7397 ema@emafirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF INNOVATIVE GLOBAL SYSTEMS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 14th day of October, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, using the electronic case filing system of the court. The electronic case filing system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means.

/s/ Michael T. Cooke

 $n:\clients\mbox{\sc reply - volvo construction.} docx$